

Wellesley, Sunny

From: Wellesley, Sunny
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 11:25 AM
To: Sappington, Amanda
Subject: FW: MO0004812 Ameren Missouri

Well Melissa didn't have anything more than what we had discussed

From: Bagley, Melissa
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 11:08 AM
To: Wellesley, Sunny
Subject: RE: MO0004812 Ameren Missouri

From the federal perspective, as long as the WQS is as stringent or more stringent than what is required by the CWA, the state can implement the WQS. This is consistent with Section 510 of the CWA. I will refer to the state as to what its own legal limitations are in that regard.

From: Wellesley, Sunny
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 10:21 AM
To: Bagley, Melissa
Subject: FW: MO0004812 Ameren Missouri

Thoughts ??

From: Sappington, Amanda [<mailto:amanda.sappington@dnr.mo.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 9:38 AM
To: Wellesley, Sunny
Subject: FW: MO0004812 Ameren Missouri

My question is about the legality of us implementing rules that are not effective. For example, we cannot implement WQS that are not EPA approved.

Amanda Sappington
Industrial Permits Unit Chief
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8728

From: Mills, Susan
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 9:03 AM
To: Meyers, Leasue; Sappington, Amanda
Subject: FW: MO0004812 Ameren Missouri

From: Amy Bonsall [<mailto:bonsallamy@gmail.com>]
Sent: Sunday, February 15, 2015 6:26 PM
To: DEQ.WPCP.Waste Water Permits Public NPDES
Cc: amy bonsall
Subject: MO0004812 Ameren Missouri

I urge you to strengthen the NPDES permit for Ameren's discharge at the Labadie Power plant. I am unable to attend the hearing on the 17th and submit my comments in writing instead.

The permit is inadequate given the long history of documented leakage from the pond. It is inexcusable that MO DNR has ignored the ongoing reported leaks, *the early 1990's*. Now is the time to make Ameren provide data concerning extent of contamination around all ash ponds, and as quickly as possible, four years is much too long. MO DNR must require measurement of coal ash pollutants into the river. The permit does not require that and must, and set appropriate discharge limits.

I ask that MO DNR:

- Test for all coal ash contaminants as outlined in the CCW rule and pending ELG rule
- Impose much needed pollutant and limits that ensure minimal impacts to water quality fully
- Require public reporting of all testing results in a timely manner

Please advise that these comments have been received and will become part of the public comment record.

Thank you.

Amy Bonsall
4467 Boles Road
Labadie, MO 63055